MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Infrastructure (TEG)

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Withdraw the School Crossing Patrol sites that no longer meet the national standards criteria.		
BUDGET AREA:	Traffic Management		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£290,368	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN	54%
THIS AREA:		SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	
TOTAL SAVING:	£158,000		

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Remove provision of 22 existing sites that no longer meet the national guidance (this represents 66% of the proposed savings) and 13 non-qualifying sites withdrawn in previous years following resignations and retirements (representing 34% of the proposed saving). The provision of School Crossing Patrols is a non-statutory service.

2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

Long-term guidance: Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

Likely to lead to significant objections and concerns over safety from schools, parents and Members.

Prevention guidance: Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

N/A

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,	V	
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)	X	

NB* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE POLICY PORTAL. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

Involvement guidance: Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

None to date. Further consultation with schools will be required.

Consultation will form part of the public engagement on the 2020/21 Medium Term Financial Plan.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	✓	
·		
,		
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY CONSULTATION.	v	

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
		✓		

3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

Public perception of road safety dangers could increase. Reputational damage to the authority.

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

The affected SCPs would need to be redeployed or made redundant.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	4.66	
NUMBER OF POSTS IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	40	
NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED SAVING:	Approximately 22	
PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MA	NY POSTS ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	Nil	
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	tbc	
RETIREMENT:	tbc	
REDEPLOYMENT:	tbc	
REDUNDANCY:	tbc	
PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:	September 2020	
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	✓	
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		✓
IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKIN	IG, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRAT	ION. DESCRIBE BELOW:
THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; ANDHOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT		
Integration guidance: Consider how the proposal v	will impact on other service ar	reas or nartners and their
ability to meet their objectives.	viii iiiipuet on other service ur	cas, or parmers, and men
The schools concerned will need to be consulted a	nd are likely to express their	concern / objection.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

Collaboration guidance: Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

The affected schools could consider whether or not they wish to fund the service instead of the Infrastructure Division.

Volunteers could be considered to undertake the service if training was provided.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
		✓		

3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING?
IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.

POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	WB04: Promote a modern, integrated and sustainable transport system that increases opportunity, promotes prosperity and minimizes the adverse impacts on the Environment	Could be reduction in number of children and parents who walk to school and an increase in vehicle usage.
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A	
WELSH GOVERNMENT	N/A	
GUIDANCE or STRATEGY		

4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
The state of the content of the cont	WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	✓	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

All sites have been surveyed and assessed in accordance with national criteria to identify those sites not qualifying for SCP provision.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED.	. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TO	OLERATED IN THE CONT	EXT OF BUDGET
PRESSURES.			

The Road Safety Team will continue to work with Schools and parents to provide advice and guidance on road safety concerns and remind all of parental responsibility for the journey to/from schools.

5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL	HAS NOT
BEEN CAPTURED.	

HEAD OF SERVICE:	.Marcus Lloyd
DATE OF COMPLETION:	07/11/19