MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Property Services

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Income or savings due to closure or rental of surplus buildings				
BUDGET AREA:	Property Services				
TOTAL BUDGET FOR THIS AREA:	Maintenance £33,000	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	100% of residual		
	Rental Nil (these are addition		maintenance budget		
	Income sums)				
TOTAL SAVING:	£133,000				

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Ty Dyffryn and Penmaen House were both previously declared surplus (following internal consultation and confirmation of no operational need) and are now leased out. The savings comprise the rental income for both and the maintenance budget for Penmaen House.

The decisions to declare Penmaen House and Ty Dyffryn as surplus were previously taken following due consultation and process and are not considered or discussed hereafter.

2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

None. These saving arise as a direct consequence of the buildings being previously declared surplus.

PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK) (AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,						
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		-,		X		
NB * IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE POLICY PORTAL. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.						
PLEASE DETAIL ANY SUMMARISE ANY FE	CONSULTATION THAT HAS EDBACK RECEIVED.	BEEN UNDERTAKI	EN IN CONSIDERI	NG THIS PROPOSAL.		
CONSIDER THE 5 WA	AY OF WORKING, IN PARTIC	CULAR, INVOLVEM	ENT.			
None. These saving a	arise as a direct consequer	nce of the buildings	being previousl	y declared surplus.		
The proposal will be	included in the public eng	agement on the pr	oposed 2020/21	budget.		
IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE			'ES	NO		
THIS PROPOSAL CAN	I BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEA	SE				
•	, WHO CAN ADVISE ON TH	E		x		
GUNNING PRINCIPLE	ES, IN PLANNING ANY					
CONSULTATION.						
TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK): NIL MINOR MODERATE SIGNIFICANT CRITICAL						
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT		
Х						
3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE						
SERVICE PROVISION: None directly linked to these savings						
PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF :						
None directly linked to these savings						
NUMBER OF FULL-TI STAFF IN BUDGET AI	ME EQUIVALENT (FTE) REA AFFECTED:	None				
NUMBER OF POSTS	IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTE	D: None				

YES

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH

NO

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED SAVING:	None				
PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY POSTS ?				
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	n/a				
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	n/a				
RETIREMENT:	n/a				
REDEPLOYMENT:					
REDUNDANCY:	n/a	n/a			
	11/ 4				
PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:	From 1 st April 2020				
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	YES	NO			
ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		Х			
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO			
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		X			
IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW: • THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND • HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT					
HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.					
IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.					
Not required					

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL MINOR MODERATE SIGNIFICANT CRITICAL IMPACT IMPACT IMPACT IMPACT

3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING?
IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.

POLICY AREA WHAT IS THE LINK? WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?

CORPORATE PLAN None None and WELL-BEING **OBJECTIVES** (please state which objectives) STATUTORY DUTIES None None WELSH None None GOVERNMENT **GUIDANCE** or STRATEGY

4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)		
	X	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

There is a financial risks with all three savings as follows:

Penmaen House – tenant breaches terms of lease and defaults. Very low risk as public sector organisation.

Ty Dyffryn - tenant breaches terms of lease and defaults. Moderate risk as start-up company

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

Penmaen House – Very low risk tolerated Ty Dyffryn - £100k Bond has been retained

5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

HEAD OF SERVICE: GM Williams

DATE OF COMPLETION: 26/11/19